UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,093	05/04/2001	Praerit Garg	MSFT-0222/158379.2	9404
41505 7590 08/08/2007 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)		EXAMINER		
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR			DINH, MINH	
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2132	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/849,093	GARG ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Minh Dinh	2132			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejection.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compare the compared patent in the c	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as te of the final rejection, even if timely filed,			
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed off A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10,12-29,33 and 34.		ill be entered and an explanation of			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence is necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	42-			

GILBERTO BARRON TO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/849,093

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations added into independent claims 1, 12, 22 and 26 ("second dynamic policy in the callback access control entry tailored to said application", "said dynamic data includes authorization policy data stored in a callback access control entry and/or run-time data manage by the application") would require further search and consideration.